



"Let us remember we are in the presence of God"

Internal Appeals Procedure (Reviews of Results and Appeals)

St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy

Internal Appeals Procedure (Reviews of Results and Appeals)

Centre name	St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy
Centre number	30560
Date procedure first created	06/11/2023
Current procedure approved by	Paul Johnson
Current procedure reviewed by	Sarah Skinner
Date of review	15/10/2025
Date of next review	01/10/2026

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name
Head of centre	Mr Dominic McKenna
Senior leader(s)	Mr P Johnson, Mr M Dodd, Mrs A Poole, Mrs R Munden, Mr S Jones, Mrs S Bennion, Mrs K McGough
Exams officer	Sarah Skinner
Other staff (if applicable)	

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that appeals against any decision at St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation, or an appeal are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in this procedure to GR refers to the JCQ document **General Regulations for Approved Centres**.

Introduction

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available (see below for details of how these are managed at St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy).

If teaching staff at St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy or a candidate (or their parent/carer) have a concern that a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check) - This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking) - This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications. It is also available for Level 3 Vocational and Technical qualifications (For NCFE this service only applies to T-Levels)
- Service 3 (Review of moderation) - This service is not available to an individual candidate

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Purpose of the procedure

The purpose of this procedure is to confirm the arrangements at St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy for dealing with candidate appeals relating to any centre decision not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation, or an appeal.

This procedure ensures compliance with JCQ regulations (GR 5.13) which state that centres must have available for inspection and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal.

Post-results services

At St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy:

- Candidates are made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results
- Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking

Candidates are made aware/informed by:

- an assembly and via Teams

Full details of the post-results services, internal deadline(s) for requesting a service and the fees charged (where applicable) are provided by:

- a printed form included in the results envelope
- or if receiving results via email then as an attachment with their results

Centre actions in response to a concern about a result

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy will:

- Look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information, etc., when made available by the awarding body, to determine if the concern may be justified

For **written** components that contributed to the final grade, St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy will:

- Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking (where the qualification concerned is eligible for this service)

In all other instances:

consider requesting a priority or non priority copy of the script (depending on circumstance) for which the candidate will need to pay the appropriate fee

- Collect written consent/permission from the candidate to access their script
- Deadlines are on the form provided with results.
- If the candidate wishes to submit a further post results service (such as a Review of Marking), then once the fee is paid, then the process will be undertaken by the Exams Officer
- Collect written consent from the candidate to request the Review of Results service before the request is submitted
- Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body

Additional centre-specific actions:

Not applicable

For **moderated** components that contributed to the final grade St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult any moderator report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a Review of Results service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for all candidates in the original sample

Additional centre-specific actions:

Not applicable

Candidate consent

St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy will:

- Acquire written candidate consent (accepting informed consent via candidate email) in all cases before a request for a Review of Results service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body
- Acquire informed candidate consent to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade

and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded

- Only collect candidate consent after the publication of results

Additional centre-specific actions:

Not applicable

Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, St Margaret Ward Catholic Academy will:

- For a review of marking (Review of Results priority service 2), advise the candidate a review may be requested by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written permission (and any required administration fee) for the centre to access the script from the awarding body
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for the centre to request the service from the awarding body
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (Review of Results service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample

Additional centre-specific actions:

Not applicable

If the candidate (or their parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by:

- completing an internal appeals form

at least

- within 5 working days

prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal:

- before the internal deadline for requesting a Review of Results

Appeals

Following a Review of Results outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal.

The JCQ documents **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the Review of Results outcome, but the candidate (or parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, an internal appeal may be made directly to the centre. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct

representations to an awarding body. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the **JCQ Appeals Booklet**.

To submit an internal appeal:

- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted to the centre within the time specified by the centre from the notification of the outcome of the review of the result
- Subject to the head of centre's decision, the preliminary appeal will be processed and submitted to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process
- Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer)
- If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre

Additional centre-specific information:

Not applicable

Changes 2025/2026

(Added) Under heading **Reviews of Results (RoRs)**: added to Priority Service 2 (Review of marking) - (For NCFE this service only applies to T-levels)

Centre-specific changes

None